# **First Principles Molecular Dynamics**

Michel Bockstedte

Lehrstuhl f. Theor. Festkörperphysik, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg





# Outline

First principles molecular dynamics: basic ideas

- Electrons, nuclei and the Born-Oppenheimer approximation
- First-principles molecular dynamics on the Born-Oppenheimer surface
- Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics of electrons and nuclei
- Statistical mechanics and molecular dynamics

Applications and special techniques in molecular dynamics

- Solvents: structure and electronic properties
- Phase transitions: thermodynamic quantities
- Diffusion in semiconductors: microscopic picture and transport coefficients

# **Born-Oppenheimer approximation revisited**

#### Many particle Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} M_{I} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{i}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_{I} Z_{J}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|}}_{\hat{H}_{\mathbf{R}}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_{i}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|} - \sum_{i,I} \frac{Z_{I}}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{I}|}}_{\hat{H}_{\mathbf{r}}(\{\mathbf{R}\})}$$

Many particle wave function:  $\Psi\left(\left\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\right\}\right)$ 

#### Adiabatic motion of electrons

- Mass of nuclei and electrons:  $M_I/m_e \sim 1830 \text{ (hydrogen)} \quad 10000 \text{ (semiconductors)}$  $\Rightarrow$  fast motion of electrons compared to nuclei
- $\bullet$  Ansatz for  $\Psi$  reflects that electrons adiabatically follow the nuclei

$$\Psi\left(\left\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\right\}\right) \approx \underbrace{\chi\left(\left\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\right\}\right)}_{\text{nuclei}} \cdot \underbrace{\psi\left(\left\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\right\};\left\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\right\}\right)}_{\text{electrons}}$$

## The Born-Oppenheimer surface

Schrödinger equations within the adiabatic approximation

$$\hat{H}_{\mathbf{r}}(\{\mathbf{R}\}) \ \psi_{\nu}(\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\};\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\}) = E_{\nu}^{e}(\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\}) \ \psi_{\nu}(\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\};\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\})$$
$$\psi_{\nu}\left\{\hat{H}_{\mathbf{R}} + E_{\nu}^{e}(\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\})\right\} \ \chi_{\mu\nu}(\{\mathbf{R}_{i}\}) = \left\{E_{\mu\nu} + \hat{H}_{na}\right\} \ \chi_{\mu\nu}(\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\}) \ \psi_{\nu}(\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\};\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\})$$

in most cases the non-adiabatic coupling  $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{na}}$  is negligible

#### Born-Oppenheimer surface

Potential energy surface of the nuclei

$$E_{\nu}^{\rm BO}(\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_{I} Z_{J}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|} + E_{\nu}^{\rm e}(\{\mathbf{R}_{I}\})$$



 $\{R_I\}$ 

#### First principles molecular dynamics

- Full quantum mechanical treatment of nuclei is expensive
- Nuclei are treated as classical particles
- Forces are obtained from the instantaneous many electron ground state
- Many electron ground state is described by density functional theory

Equations of motion

$$M_{I} \ddot{\mathbf{R}}_{I} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} E_{0}^{\mathrm{BO}} \left( [n_{0}], \{\mathbf{R}_{I}\} \right)$$
$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{KS}} \left( \{\mathbf{R}_{I}\} \right) \phi_{i}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_{i} \phi_{i}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r})$$

where  $n_0(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i,\,\mathrm{occ}} \, |\phi_i^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r})|^2$  and with  $\int \phi_i^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r})^* \phi_j^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r}) \, d^3r = \delta_{ij}$ 

#### Literature:

M. C. Payne, M. P. Teter, D. C. Allen, T. A. Arias and J. D. Joannopoulos, Rev. Mod.Phys., 64 (1992) 1045

#### Constant of motion

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} M_{I} \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{I}^{2} + E_{0}^{\text{BO}}\left([n_{0}], \{\mathbf{R}_{I}\}\right)$$

Forces between nuclei

$$F_{I} = -\frac{d}{d\mathbf{R}_{I}} E_{0}^{\mathrm{BO}}\left([n_{0}], \left\{\mathbf{R}_{i}\right\}\right) = \underbrace{-\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{R}_{I}} E_{0}^{\mathrm{BO}}\left([n_{0}], \left\{\mathbf{R}_{i}\right\}\right)}_{\mathbf{F}_{I}^{\mathrm{HF}}} - \underbrace{\int d^{3}r \frac{\delta E_{0}^{\mathrm{BO}}}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\partial n_{0}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial\mathbf{R}_{I}}}_{\mathbf{F}_{I}^{\mathrm{Pulay}} + \mathbf{F}_{I}^{\mathrm{Var}}}$$

- straight forward evaluation in plane wave basis set for semiconductors ( $\mathbf{F}_{I}^{\text{Pulay}} = 0$ )
- as  $\mathbf{F}_{I}^{Var} \sim o(\delta n(\mathbf{r}))$ , when  $\delta E_{0}^{BO} \sim o(\delta n(\mathbf{r})^{2})$  a high accuracy for  $n(\mathbf{r})$  is needed.
- for metallic systems due to Fermi occupation additional forces appear (usually small if  $T_{\rm el}$  is small), which are in most cases computationally expensive. When using  $F_I^{\rm HF}$  alone  $E_{\rm tot} \frac{1}{2}T_{\rm el}S_{\rm el}$  is the constant of motion.

#### Literature:

• F. Wagner, T. Laloyaux, and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. B, 57 (1998) 2102.

Numerical task: solve the initial value problem for a system of Newtonian equations

## Computational scheme

- 0. start with initial set of positions  $\{\mathbf{R}_I(t_0)\}$  and velocities  $\{\mathbf{V}_I(t_0)\}$
- for each time  $t_0 + n \ \delta t$  during the simulation
  - 1. solve the Kohn-Sham equations for  $\{\mathbf{R}_I(t_0+(n-1)\,\delta t)\}$  and calculate forces
  - 2. integrate the equation of motion for nuclei to obtain  $\{\mathbf{R}_I\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{V}_I\}$  at  $t_0 + n \ \delta t$

repeat 1. and 2. till the end of the simulation

#### Common integration schemes:

- Verlet algorithm and predictor-corrector schemes
- one evaluation of forces per time step  $\delta t$  is sufficient

## Literature:

- M. P. Allen and D. J. Tildesley, Computer Simulations of Liquids, Claredon Press, Oxford, 1987.
- M. E. Tuckerman *et al.*, J. Chem. Phys., **97** (1992) 1990.

Verlet algorithm

$$\mathbf{R}_{I}(t+\delta t) = 2\mathbf{R}_{I}(t) - \mathbf{R}_{I}(t-\delta t) + \frac{\mathbf{F}_{I}(t)}{M_{I}} \delta t^{2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta t^{4})$$
$$\mathbf{V}_{I}(t) = \frac{3\mathbf{R}_{I}(t) - 4\mathbf{R}_{I}(t-\delta t) + \mathbf{R}_{I}(t-2\,\delta t)}{2\,\delta t} + \mathcal{O}(\delta t^{2})$$

obtained by Taylor series expansion of  $\mathbf{R}_i(t + \delta t)$  and  $\mathbf{R}_i(t - \delta t)$  at t.

Velocity Verlet algorithm

$$\mathbf{R}_{I}(t+\delta t) = \mathbf{R}_{I}(t) + \mathbf{V}_{I}(t) + \frac{\mathbf{F}_{I}(t)}{2M_{I}}\delta t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{V}_{I}(t+\delta t) = \mathbf{V}_{I}(t) + \frac{\mathbf{F}_{I}(t)}{2M_{I}}\delta t + \frac{\mathbf{F}_{I}(t+\delta t)}{2M_{I}}\delta t$$

Properties of the Verlet algorithm:

- time reversible second order scheme
- for large time steps  $\delta t$  strong fluctuations in the constants of motion appear

# Molecular dynamics on the Born-Oppenheimer surface in practice

#### Choosing the time step

Example: vibration of a  $As_2$  dimer

| $As_2$  |        |       |               |                    |       |       |  |
|---|--------|-------|---------------|--------------------|-------|-------|--|
| $E_{cut}=30$ Ry, $ u_{stat}=12.225$ THz, $a_0=3.969$ Bohr |        |       |               |                    |       |       |  |
|   | Verlet |       |               | pc 4th./6th. order |       |       |  |
| $\delta t$ [ period ]                                     | 0.029  | 0.089 | 0.18          | 0.029              | 0.089 | 0.18  |  |
| $   \nu_{dyn}[THz] $                                      | 12.16  | 12.29 | $\sim 12.45?$ | 12.18              | 12.11 | 11.96 |  |

Trajectory as obtained with Verlet algorithm is less accurate for large time steps Efficiency vs. time step

| As <sub>2</sub> -dimer | Verlet |       | pc 4th./6th. order |       |       |       |
|------------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| $\delta t$ [ period ]  | 0.029  | 0.089 | 0.18               | 0.029 | 0.089 | 0.18  |
| el.lter. /ionic step   | 12.4   | 13.3  | 13.9               | 19.6  | 29.4  | 32.2  |
| el.lter/period         | 427.5  | 149.4 | 77.2               | 675.8 | 341.8 | 178.8 |

# Molecular dynamics on the Born-Oppenheimer surface in practice

#### Drift in the constant of motion

- convergence of Forces  $|\delta {f F}_I|/|{f F}_I|$
- time step  $\delta t$
- behaviour of integration schemes
  - <u>Verlet</u>: fluctuations + small drift
  - Predictor-Corrector: drift only



# **Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics**

#### Key idea

- Introduction of an artificial coupling between electrons and nuclei
- Kohn-Sham orbitals  $\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$  are treated as classical degrees of freedom
- Kinetic energy of the new degrees is defined using an arbitrarily chosen mass  $\mu$

## Extended lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm CP} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} M_{I} \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{I}^{2} + \mu \sum_{i,\text{occ}} \int |\dot{\phi}_{i}^{\rm KS}|^{2} d^{3}r - E_{\rm KS} \left( [\{\phi_{i}^{\rm KS}\}], \{\mathbf{R}_{\rm I}\} \right) + \sum_{i,\text{occ}} \Lambda_{ij} \left( \int \phi_{i}^{\rm KS*} \phi_{j}^{\rm KS} d^{3}r - \delta_{ij} \right)$$

orthogonality constraint:  $\int \phi_i^{\mathrm{KS}*} \phi_j^{\mathrm{KS}} d^3 r = \delta_{ij}$ 

#### Literature:

- D. K. Remler and P. A. Madden, Mol. Phys., **70** (1990) 921.
- G. Pastore, E. Samargiassi and F. Buda, Phys. Rev. A, 44 (1991) 6334.

## Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics: equations of motion

#### Equations of motion



#### Constant of motion in CP dynamics

$$E_{\text{const}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} M_{I} \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{I}^{2} + \underbrace{\mu \sum_{i,\text{occ}} \int |\dot{\phi}_{i}^{\text{KS}}|^{2} d^{3}r}_{\text{additional kinetic energy}} + E_{\text{KS}} \left( \left[ \left\{ \phi_{i}^{\text{KS}} \right\} \right], \left\{ \mathbf{R}_{I} \right\} \right)$$

#### Idea:

• for small  $\mu$  adiabatic decoupling is achieved  $\Rightarrow$  true MD trajectories are obtained

## **Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics: interpretation**

Interpretation of CP molecular dynamics

assume nuclei are at rest, i.e.  $\ddot{\mathbf{R}}_I = 0$ 



# **Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics: adiabaticity**

Restrictions for mass  $\mu$ 

• Oscillatory motion of Kohn-Sham orbitals is given by  $\mu$  and  $\varepsilon_{\text{Gap}}$  (adiabatic limit)

$$\omega_{\phi_i^{\mathrm{KS}}} \le \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{Gap}}}{\mu}}$$

 $arepsilon_{\mathrm{Gap}}$  is the Kohn-Sham energy gap between highest occupied and lowest unoccupied state

- Adiabatic decoupling between nuclei and Kohn-Sham orbitals
  - Electrons are close to the BO-surface:

$$\mu \sum_{i,\text{occ}} \int |\dot{\phi}_i^{\text{KS}}|^2 d^3 r \ll \frac{1}{2} \sum_I M_I \dot{\mathbf{R}}_I^2 + E_{\text{KS}} \left( \left[ \left\{ \phi_i^{\text{KS}} \right\} \right], \{\mathbf{R}_I\} \right)$$

- Kohn-Sham orbitals should oscillate faster than nuclei (phonons)

$$\omega_{\phi_i^{\mathrm{KS}}} > \omega_{\mathrm{nuclei}}$$

- No energy transfer between nuclei and Kohn-Sham orbitals:

$$\mu \sum_{i,\text{occ}} \int |\dot{\phi}_i^{\text{KS}}|^2 d^3 r \sim \text{const}$$

# **Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics in practice**

• choice of the time step:

Systems with a large energy gap  $\varepsilon_{\rm gap}$  allow for a larger mass  $\mu$  and time step

$$\Delta t \sim 1/\omega_{\phi_i^{\mathrm{KS}}} \sim \sqrt{\mu/\varepsilon_{\mathrm{Gap}}}$$

- Low drift in constants of motion: oscillatory motion of electrons allows for a small drift in  $E_{\rm CP}$ .
- system with a small gap:

A small gap requires a small mass  $\mu$  and hence a small time step However, a failure in adiabaticity is reduced by thermostats (P. Blöchl and M. Parrinello Phys. Rev. B, **45**).

• Metallic systems:

Kohn-Sham orbitals  $\{\phi_i^{KS}\}$  do not correspond to eigenstates, hence occupation by a Fermi-distribution is not suitable.

# **Comparing the first principles approaches**

Molecular dynamics on the Born-Oppenheimer surface

- + efficient as it allows for a large time step
- + no additional parameters to guarantee adiabaticity
- + efficient even for small gap systems, metallic systems
- drift in the constant of motion (i.e.  $E_{
  m tot}$ )
- inefficient, when convergence is an issue

## Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics

- + negligible drift in the constants of motion
- + efficient when force evaluation is inexpensive
- less suited for metallic systems
- inefficient for small gap systems and when level crossings occur

# The Nosè-Hoover thermostat

## Microcanonical ensemble

- \* closed system
- \* constant energy

### Canonical ensemble

- \* energy exchange with a reservoir
- \* constant temperature



Small systems:

• microcanonical ensemble averages  $\neq$  canonical ensemble averages

Nosé-Hoover thermostat:

- allows for simulations within the canonical ensemble
- corrects for a drift in the energy as a side effect

## Nosè-Hoover thermostat: The extended system

Additional degree of freedom  $x_{\rm R}$  simulates heat bath:

$$M_{I} \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} \mathbf{R}_{I} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} E_{0}(\{\mathbf{R}_{J}\}_{N}) - M_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} \frac{d}{dt} x_{\mathrm{R}}$$
$$Q \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} x_{\mathrm{R}} = \sum_{I} M_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I}^{2} - g k_{\mathrm{B}} T_{\mathrm{ext}}$$

and the constant of motion

$$E'_{\text{tot}} = \underbrace{\sum_{I} \frac{1}{2} M_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I}^{2} + E_{0}(\{\mathbf{R}_{J}\}_{N})}_{E_{\text{tot}}} + \frac{1}{2} Q \left(\frac{d}{dt} x_{R}\right)^{2} + g k_{B} T_{\text{ext}} x_{R}$$

- Nosè-Hoover thermostat simulates a canonical ensemble
- Choice for the extended system is unique
- Thermostat parameters Q (thermostat mass) and g = 3 N (degrees of freedom)

Literature:

- S. Nosé, J. Chem Phys., **81** (1984) 511.
- W.G. Hoover, Phys. Rev. A, **31** (1985) 1695.

## Statistical averages from the ergodic hypothesis

Calculation of averages (Ergodic hypothesis):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(\{\mathbf{P}_I, \mathbf{R}_I\}_N) \rangle &= \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau A(\{\mathbf{P}_I(t), \mathbf{R}_I(t)\}_N) \, dt \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}} \int_0^{\tilde{\tau}} A(\{\mathbf{P}_I(t), \mathbf{R}_I(t)\}_N) \, dt \end{aligned}$$

for a sufficiently long simulation time  $\tilde{\tau}$ .

Example: Average kinetic energy and higher order moments:

$$\langle E_{\rm kin} \rangle = \langle \sum_{I} \frac{M_{I}}{2} \mathbf{V}_{I}^{2} \rangle = \frac{3N}{2} k_{\rm B} T \quad \text{and} \quad \langle E_{\rm kin}^{m} \rangle_{\rm C} = \langle (E_{\rm kin} - \langle E_{\rm kin} \rangle)^{m} \rangle = \frac{3N}{2} (k_{\rm B} T)^{m}$$

used to test the choice of the thermostat mass Q and the simulation time  $ilde{ au}$ 

## The thermostat mass Q

- $\bullet \ Q$  determines motion of the thermostat and coupling to the system
- characteristic time in the weak coupling:

$$\tau = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{Q}{6N \, k_{\rm B} T}}$$

Example: GaAs 64 atoms at T=1400 K

phonon time scale:  $\nu_{\Gamma O} = 8.8 \,\mathrm{THz} \rightarrow 0.1 \,\mathrm{ps}$  and  $\nu_{\mathrm{X,TA}} = 2.5 \,\mathrm{THz} \rightarrow 0.4 \,\mathrm{ps}$ Simulations for different Q:



# Statistical ensemble techniques: overview

## General approach

- additional degrees of freedom simulate heat bath or applied pressure
- modified equations of motion are solved for given external variables
- thermodynamic quantities in the desired <u>ensemble</u> are obtained from time averages
- <u>but</u>: actual trajectories are only relevant on a time scale below the interaction time with additional degrees of freedom

## Examples:

- constant pressure dynamics: H. C. Andersen, J. Chem. Phys., 72 (1980) 2384.
- Parrinello-Rahman method: M. Parrinello and A. Rahman, Phys. Rev. Lett., **45** (1980) 1196.
- canonical ensemble: Nosé-Hoover thermostat

# **Application of Molecular dynamics**

#### Applications

- Structural properties of liquids
- Phase transitions
- Diffusion in semiconductors

## Special simulation techniques

- Spatial and time correlation functions
- Free energies and thermodynamic integration
- Rare events and the blue moon ensemble

#### Water above the critical point

(M. Boreo *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett., **85** (2000) 3245)

Critical point:

$$T = 647 \,\mathrm{K}$$
  $P = 22.1 \,\mathrm{MPa}$  and  $\rho = 0.32 \,\mathrm{g/cm}^3$ 

#### <u>Structure</u>

• pair correlation function

$$g(r) = \frac{1}{\rho N} \int \langle n(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) n(\mathbf{r} - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \rangle d^{3} \tilde{r}$$

• Coordination number

$$N_{\rm c} = 4\pi \, \int_0^{r_{\rm min}} g(r) \, r^2 \, dr$$



## Local bonding

- Liquid water: only linear H bonds (a) form
- sc water: a large fraction of unbonded molecules
- 1%-3% of H bonds are of type (b)-(d)

#### Distribution of dipole moments

local dipole moments are calculated by maximally localised Wannier function and Wannier function centres technique (N. Mazari and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B, **56** (1997) 12847)

(a) 
$$\rho = 0.32 \, \text{g/cm}^3$$

(b) 
$$\rho = 0.73 \, {\rm g/cm^3}$$

(c) liquid water



#### IR-spectra of liquid water

(P. L. Silvestrelli et al. Chem. Phys. Lett., 277 (1997) 478)

Infrared adsorbtion coefficient

$$\alpha(\omega) = \frac{4\pi \tanh(\hbar\omega/2\,kT)}{3\hbar n(\omega)\,c\,V} \int dt \exp\left(-\imath\omega t\right) \left\langle \mathbf{M}(t)\cdot\mathbf{M}(0)\right\rangle$$

where M is the total dipole moment,  $n(\omega)$  the refractive index, c the speed of light and V is the volume.

Electronic contribution to dipole moment from berry phase R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B, **47** (1993) 1651

$$M_i^{\text{el}} = \frac{2|e|}{|\mathbf{b}_i|} \phi(\mathbf{b}_i) \quad \text{with} \quad \phi(\mathbf{b}_i) = \Im \ln \det S \quad \text{and} \quad S_{mn} = \langle \psi_m | \exp -\imath \mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{r} | \psi_n \rangle$$

where  $\mathbf{b}_i$  are the reciprocal basis vectors and i = 1, 2, 3

## Calculated IR-spectrum



## **Example: First order phase transtion**

Melting of silicon

(O. Sugino and R. Car, Phys. Rev. Lett., **74** (1995) 1823)

First order phase transition occurs if chemical potentials coincide

 $\Delta \mu_{\text{solid}}(T, P) = \Delta \mu_{\text{liquid}}(T, P)$ 



Task:

$$\mu \sim -kT \ln \int d\mathbf{Q} \, d\mathbf{P} \exp\left(-H/kT\right)$$

Thermodynamic integration:

$$H(\lambda) = \lambda H_1 + (1 - \lambda) H_{\text{reservoir}}$$

$$\Delta \mu = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \lambda} d\lambda = \int_0^1 \langle \frac{\partial H(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \rangle_\lambda d\lambda = \int_0^1 \langle H_1 - H_{\text{reservoir}} \rangle_\lambda d\lambda$$

## **Example: First order phase transtion**

#### Calculated properties of the phase transition

- simulation in a canonical ensemble with constant pressure (Nosé-Hoover and Andersen techniques)
- ensemble averages are obtained as time averages by slowly switching from  $\lambda = 0$  to  $\lambda = 1$

$$\Delta \mu = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (H_1 - H_{\text{reservoir}}) \frac{d\lambda}{dt} dt$$



TABLE I. Thermodynamic properties at the theoretical and at the experimental melting point.

|                                   | This work (LDA)              | Experiment                            |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| $T_m$ (K)                         | $1.35(10) \times 10^{3}$     | $1.685(2) \times 10^{3a}$             |
| Ss                                | $6.9(1)k_B$                  | $7.4k_B^{b}$                          |
| $S_{I}$                           | $9.9(2)k_B$                  | $11.0k_B^{b}, 10.7k_B^{c}$            |
| $H_s$ (eV/atom)                   | $H_s(0 \text{ K}) + 0.33(2)$ | $H_s(0 \text{ K}) + 0.41 \text{ b}$   |
| $\Delta H_{sl}$ (eV/atom)         | 0.35(2)                      | 0.52 <sup>b</sup> , 0.47 <sup>c</sup> |
| $C_s$ (eV/K atom)                 | $3.0(4) \times 10^{-4}$      | $3.03 \times 10^{-4d}$                |
| $C_l$ (eV/K atom)                 | $2.7(4) \times 10^{-4}$      | $3.03 \times 10^{-4d}$                |
| $V_s$ [(a.u.) <sup>3</sup> /atom] | $1.350(5) \times 10^2$       | $1.380 \times 10^{2a}$                |
| $\Delta V/V_s$                    | 10(1)%                       | 11.9%°, 9.5%°                         |
| $\alpha_s (\mathrm{K}^{-1})$      | $0.3(1) \times 10^{-5}$      | $0.44 \times 10^{-5a}$                |
| $\alpha_l (\mathrm{K}^{-1})$      | $4.8(5) \times 10^{-5}$      | $5.2 \times 10^{-5d}$                 |
| $dT_m/dp$ (K/GPa)                 | -50(5)                       |                                       |
| <sup>a</sup> Ref. [23].           |                              |                                       |
| <sup>b</sup> Ref. [24].           |                              |                                       |
| <sup>c</sup> Ref. [25].           |                              |                                       |
| <sup>d</sup> From Ref. [4].       |                              |                                       |
| <sup>e</sup> Ref. [26].           |                              |                                       |
|                                   |                              |                                       |

Gallium self diffusion mediated by vacancies

(M. Bockstedte and M. Scheffler, Z. Phys. Chem., 200 (1997) 195)



Microscopic picture of diffusion:

- Mechanism of vacancy diffusion
  - (1) nearest-neighbour hops
  - (2) second-nearest-neighbour hops
- Contribution to the gallium self diffusion

Molecular dynamics simulations (T=1700 K)

(1) nearest-neighbour hops

(2) attempted second-nearest-neighbour hop





Diffusion and rate processes

Migration barrier  $E_m$  determines timescale of diffusion:

Diffusion constant:

$$D = \frac{1}{6t} \left\langle \left( \mathbf{R}(t) - \mathbf{R}_0 \right)^2 \right\rangle$$

for a large simulation time t

with the hopping rate  $\Gamma\,\sim\,{\rm e}^{-\frac{E_{\rm m}}{k_{\rm B}T}}$ 

 $D = \frac{1}{6} n \Gamma d^2$ 

Reaction coordinates and potential energy surfaces



- potential energy surface depends on many coordinates  $\{R_i\}_N$
- only a <u>few</u> coordinates discribe the hop

Reaction coordinate  $\xi$  (c.f. C H Bennett 1975 and 1977):

• reaction coordinate determines the migration path

 $R_i(A) = R(\xi_A)$  and  $R_i(B) = R(\xi_B)$ 

• potential energy has a local minimum for each value of  $\xi$  is at the path

$$E(\xi) = \min_{\{R_i\}_N, \xi = const.} E(\{R_i\}_N)$$



<u>Blue moon ensemble</u> for the calculation of rare events (Carter et al 1989):

• probability density  $P(\xi) = \langle \delta(\xi - \xi^{\dagger}) \rangle$  is the key quantity:

$$P(\xi) = \langle \delta(\xi - \xi^{\dagger}) \rangle = C \exp\left(-\frac{V(\xi)}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int P(\xi) \, d\xi = 1$$

-  $P(\xi)$  and  $V(\xi)$  do not represent an ensemble average, but

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}V(\xi) = -k_{\rm B}T\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\langle\delta(\xi-\xi^{\dagger})\rangle = \frac{\langle(\partial E(\xi, \{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V}\})/\partial\xi - k_{\rm B}T\,\partial\ln|J|/\partial\xi)\,\delta(\xi-\xi^{\dagger})\rangle}{\langle\delta(\xi-\xi^{\dagger})\rangle}$$

## Blue Moon Ensemble for Calculation of Rare Events

- $P(\xi)$  and  $V(\xi)$  are calculated from  $\partial V(\xi)/\partial \xi$  by integration
- Evaluate  $\partial V(\xi)/\partial \xi$  by molecular dynamics with constraint: <u>Problem</u>: constraint  $\delta(\xi - \xi^{\dagger})$  implies  $\partial \xi/\partial t = 0$ <u>Solution</u>: introduce statistical weights to exactly compensate for  $\partial \xi/\partial t = 0$

$$\frac{\langle A(\xi, \{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V}\}) \,\delta(\xi - \xi^{\dagger}) \rangle}{\langle \delta(\xi - \xi^{\dagger}) \rangle} = \frac{\langle |\mathbf{Z}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \,A(\xi, \{\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{V}_i\}) \rangle_{\xi^{\dagger}}}{\langle |\mathbf{Z}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle_{\xi^{\dagger}}}$$

Statistical weights  $|\mathbf{Z}|$ :  $|\mathbf{Z}| = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{M_i} \left| \partial \xi / \partial \mathbf{R}_i \right|^2$ 

#### Gallium self-diffusion constant

$$D_{\rm Ga} = D_0 \, \exp\left(-\frac{Q(\mu_{\rm F}) - \Delta\mu(T))}{k_{\rm B}T}\right)$$

$$D_{0} = \frac{1}{6} n_{\text{lat}} d^{2} f_{\text{C}} \Gamma_{\text{V}_{\text{Ga}}}^{0} \exp \left(S_{\text{D}}/k_{\text{B}}\right)$$
Reservoir As<sub>4</sub>-Gas:  $\Delta \mu = \Delta e_{\text{As}} - T \Delta s_{\text{As}}$ 

$$\tilde{D}_{0} = D_{0} \exp \left(\frac{\Delta s_{\text{As}}}{k_{\text{B}}}\right) \left(\frac{P}{1 \text{atm}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{T}{298 \text{ K}}\right)^{1/2}$$
typically  $P \sim 1 atm$  and  $T \sim 800 \text{ K} - 1500 \text{ K}$ 

V<sup>0</sup><sub>Ga</sub>:  $\tilde{D}_0 = 0.8 - 1.0 \text{ cm}^2 s^{-1}$ V<sup>3-</sup><sub>Ga</sub>:  $\tilde{D}_0 = 2.5 - 3 \text{ cm}^2 s^{-1}$ 



Self diffsuion constant: as calculated from rate constant and free formation energy

- Calculation
  - $D_{\text{Ga}} = (0.8 3) \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} e^{-\frac{Q}{k_{\text{B}}T}}$ with Q = 4.0 eV - 3.0 eV
- GaAs/GaAlAs-heterostructures:
  - Tan and Gösele (1988):  $D_{\text{Ga}} = 2.9 \ 10^8 \ \text{e}^{-\frac{6 \ \text{eV}}{k_{\text{B}}T}} \ \text{cm}^2 \ \text{s}^{-1}$ - Bracht *et al* (1999):  $Al_0 \text{Ga}_1 \text{As:} \ D_{\text{Ga}} = 0.6 \ \text{e}^{-\frac{3.7 \ \text{eV}}{k_{\text{B}}T}} \ \text{cm}^2 \ \text{s}^{-1}$
- Isotope-heterostructures
  - Wang et al 1996:

$$D_{\rm Ga} = 48 \ {\rm e}^{-\frac{4.2 \ {\rm eV}}{k_{\rm B}T}} \ {\rm cm}^2 \, {\rm s}^{-1}$$



# Summary

- First principles molecular dynamics
- Molecular dynamics on the Born-Oppenheimer surface
- Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics
- Simulation techniques
  - Canonical ensemble: Nosé-Hoover thermostat
  - Thermodynamic properties: phase space averages and free energies
  - Rare events: blue-moon ensemble
- Applications of MD-techniques
  - Solvents: pair correlation and adsorbtion spectra
  - Phase transitions: thermodynamic properties
  - Diffusion in semi conductors: diffusion mechanisms and transport coefficients