

Supporting material: Large-scale surface reconstruction energetics of Pt(100) and Au(100) by all-electron DFT

Paula Havu^{1,*}, Volker Blum¹, Ville Havu^{1,2}, Patrick Rinke¹, and Matthias Scheffler¹

1) Fritz-Haber-Institut, Berlin, Germany and

2) Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University, Helsinki, Finland

(Dated: September 25, 2010)

We here add supporting material for the methodological aspects of our work on large-scale reconstructed Au(100) and Pt(100) surfaces: A brief account of convergence aspects, and comparison of the reconstruction geometries found by us to the available literature data.

PACS numbers:

Methodological aspects:

As described in the main text, a $(5 \times N)$ plane ($N > 1$) contains $(N+5+1)$ additional atoms in the unit cell, compared to a (1×1) layer. In terms of total energies for individual surface slabs, E^{slab} , and the total energy per atom in the bulk, $E^{\text{atom,bulk}}$, the reconstruction energy $\Delta E_{5 \times N}$ (here defined to be positive if a reconstruction is favored) is

$$-\Delta E_{5 \times N} = E_{5 \times N}^{\text{slab}} - 5N E_{1 \times 1}^{\text{slab}} - (N+6) E^{\text{atom,bulk}} \quad (1)$$

All DFT total energies are based on the LDA,[1] or the generalized gradient functional PBE.[2] We use fcc lattice parameters $a_{\text{Au}}=4.055$ (4.169) Å and $a_{\text{Pt}}=3.899$ (3.974) Å in LDA (PBE), respectively, computed for the highly converged *tier 1* basis level (*spdfg* for Pt, *spdfgh* for Au [3]), and essentially converged already for $(10 \times 10 \times 10)$ k -point grids. The *tier 1* basis set level is also used to obtain converged geometry relaxation (residual forces $< 10^{-2}$ eV/Å) and total energies for all surfaces considered here. The convergence of our surface calculations is verified by explicit tests for (5×1) approximants (a-c below): (a) Compared to (much larger) *tier 2* basis sets, reconstruction energies are converged to better than 0.003 eV/ 1×1 . (b) Nine-layer slabs (four relaxed) yield an energy lowering of 0.01 eV/ 1×1 for Au and Pt. (c) 0.01 eV/ 1×1 accuracy is obtained by 10×10 k -point grids in units equivalent to the 1×1 cell. In our calculations with variable cell length N (data points in Fig. 2 of the main paper), we further reduce the k -grid noise by using 2×2 and equivalent k -grids for $N < 20$, and 1×2 and equivalent k -meshes for $N \geq 20$. In terms of the 1×1 periodicity, this amounts to 20×10 or denser grids throughout this work. Our *overall* accuracy is verified by explicit FP-LAPW [4] (5×1) calculations, yielding agreement within 0.01 eV/ 1×1 .

Comparison of reconstruction geometry characteristics to the available literature:

Table I compares some key surface geometry parameters from our study with the available diffraction experiments. The agreement is remarkable, especially since the most

detailed study [5] used a surface-averaged (5×1) model for Au(100), yielding a “hex” layer buckling inbetween

	Au(100)		Pt(100)	
	This work	Experiment	This work	Experiment
b_1/d_{bulk}	0.32(0.32)	0.275 ^a	0.29(0.29)	$\approx 0.2^b$ 0.25-0.38 ^c
b_2/d_{bulk}	0.044(0.044)	0.069 ^a	0.042(0.042)	
d_{12}/d_{bulk}	1.21(1.22)	1.20 ^a	1.20(1.20)	
d_{23}/d_{bulk}	0.99(0.98)		0.99(1.00)	

TABLE I: Averaged interlayer distances d_{ij} and peak-to-peak buckling amplitudes b_i for Au(100)-“ (5×20) ” and Pt(100)-“ (5×25) ” in LDA (PBE in brackets), compared to experiment: ^aXRD, Refs. [5]; ^bLEED, Ref. [6]; ^cHelium atom scattering, Ref. [7].

our maximum and minimum corrugations (see Fig. 3 of the main paper and its description there).

* Present address: Helsinki University of Technology (TKK), Finland

- [1] J. Perdew and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13244 (1992).
- [2] J. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996).
- [3] V. Blum, R. Gehrke, F. Hanke, P. Havu, V. Havu, X. Ren, K. Reuter, and M. Scheffler, Comp. Phys. Comm. **180**, 2175 (2009).
- [4] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, and J. Luitz, *Wien2k, an Augmented Plane Wave Plus Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties*, Ed. *Wien2k_03* (TU Wien, 2003).
- [5] B. M. Ocko, D. Gibbs, K. G. Huang, D. M. Zehner, and S. G. J. Mochrie, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 6429 (1991).
- [6] M. A. van Hove, R. J. Koestner, P. C. Stair, J. P. Bibérian, L. L. Kesmodel, I. Bartoš, and G. A. Somorjai, Surf. Sci. **103**, 218 (1981).
- [7] X.-C. Guo, A. Hopkinson, J. M. Bradley, and D. A. King, Surf. Sci. **278**, 263 (1992).