



ELSEVIER

Surface Science 338 (1995) L889–L890

surface science

## Erratum

# Erratum to “Submonolayer epitaxy without a critical nucleus” [Surface Science 329 (1995) L599] ☆

C. Ratsch <sup>a,1</sup>, P. Šmilauer <sup>a,2</sup>, A. Zangwill <sup>b,\*</sup>, D.D. Vvedensky <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Interdisciplinary Research Centre for Semiconductor Materials, Imperial College, London SW7 2BZ, UK*

<sup>b</sup> *School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA*

<sup>c</sup> *The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, London SW7 2BZ, UK*

Received 15 June 1995

In the original publication Figs. 2 and 3 were inadvertently printed in black-and-white. The correct color figures are shown on the next page.

☆ SSDI of the original article: 0039-6028(94)00353-3.

\* Corresponding author. E-mail: zangwill@zang1.physics.gatech; Fax: +1 404 853 9958.

<sup>1</sup> Present address: Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Faradayweg 4–6, D-14195 Berlin, Germany.

<sup>2</sup> Also at: The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, London, SW7 2BZ, UK; on leave from The Institute of Physics, Czech Academy of Science, Cukrovarnická 10, 16200 Praha 6, Czech Republic.




Fig. 2. The local slope  $\chi$  as a function of  $\lambda = N_1^{-1} \exp(-E_N/k_B T)$  for different values of  $E_N$ .  $N_1$  is the measured density of adatoms. The solid curve is the rate equation result from Ref. [25].




Fig. 3. Island size distributions for Fe/Fe(001) from Ref. [2,30] (large circles) compared to pair-bond model simulation results: (a) experiment at  $T = 20^\circ\text{C}$ , simulation for  $0.10 \leq \theta \leq 0.25$  with  $E_N = 1.0$  eV and  $F = 0.1$  s $^{-1}$  (open symbols) and  $E_N = 0.3$  eV and  $F = 0.5$  s $^{-1}$  (closed symbols); (b) experiment at  $T = 163^\circ\text{C}$ , simulation for  $0.10 \leq \theta \leq 0.25$  with  $E_N = 0.7$  eV and  $F = 0.001$  s $^{-1}$  (open symbols), and  $E_N = 0.3$  eV and  $F = 0.2$  s $^{-1}$  (closed symbols); (c) experiment at  $T = 250^\circ\text{C}$ , simulation for  $0.12 \leq \theta \leq 0.25$  with  $E_N = 0.6$  eV and  $F = 0.001$  s $^{-1}$  (open symbols), and  $E_N = 0.3$  eV and  $F = 0.03$  s $^{-1}$  (closed symbols); (d) experiment at  $T = 356^\circ\text{C}$ , simulation for  $0.12 \leq \theta \leq 0.25$  with  $E_N = 0.3$  eV and  $F = 0.001$  s $^{-1}$ .